

A Leading Log Approximation for Inflationary Quantum Field Theory

R. P. Woodard^a *

^aDepartment of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, United States

During inflation explicit perturbative computations of quantum field theories which contain massless, non-conformal fields exhibit secular effects that grow as powers of the logarithm of the inflationary scale factor. Starobinskiĭ's technique of stochastic inflation not only reproduces the leading infrared logarithms at each order in perturbation theory, it can sometimes be summed to reveal what happens when inflation has proceeded so long that the large logarithms overwhelm even very small coupling constants. It is thus a cosmological analogue of what the renormalization group does for the ultraviolet logarithms of quantum field theory, and generalizing this technique to quantum gravity is a problem of great importance. There are two significant differences between gravity and the scalar models for which stochastic formulations have so far been given: derivative interactions and the presence of constrained fields. We use explicit perturbative computations in two simple scalar models to infer a set of rules for stochastically formulating theories with these features.

1. Overview

This conference report represents work done in collaboration with Nikolaos Tsamis of the University of Crete. In the next section I review the two conditions (masslessness and lack of conformal invariance) for quantum effects to be enhanced during inflation. In section 3 I introduce the notions of infrared logarithms and the leading logarithm approximation. Section 4 shows that the field operator behaves like a classical random variable in the leading logarithm approximation. I also derive the approximate field equation obtained by Starobinskiĭ for a massless, minimally coupled scalar with non-derivative self-interactions. Sections 5 and 6 generalize Starobinskiĭ's technique to theories which possess derivative interactions and constraints, respectively. Our results are summarized in section 7.

2. Quantum Effects during Inflation

A locally de Sitter geometry provides the simplest paradigm for inflation. To see why, consider a general homogeneous, isotropic and spatially flat geometry,

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)d\vec{x} \cdot d\vec{x} . \quad (1)$$

*woodard@phys.ufl.edu

Derivatives of the scale factor $a(t)$ give the Hubble parameter $H(t)$ and the deceleration parameter $q(t)$,

$$H(t) \equiv \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \quad , \quad q(t) \equiv -\frac{a\ddot{a}}{\dot{a}^2} = -1 - \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} . \quad (2)$$

The nonzero components of the Riemann tensor are,

$$\begin{aligned} R^0_{i0j} &= -qH^2g_{ij} , \\ R^i_{jkl} &= H^2\left(\delta^i_kg_{jl} - \delta^i_lg_{jk}\right) . \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Inflation is defined as positive expansion ($H(t) > 0$) with negative deceleration ($q(t) < 0$). On the other hand, stability — in the form of the weak energy condition — implies $q(t) \geq -1$. At the limit of $q = -1$ we see from (3) that the Riemann tensor assumes the locally de Sitter form, $R^\rho_{\sigma\mu\nu} = H^2\left(\delta^\rho_\mu g_{\sigma\nu} - \delta^\rho_\nu g_{\sigma\mu}\right)$. It follows from (2) that the Hubble parameter is actually constant, and that the zero of time can be chosen to make the scale factor take the simple exponential form we shall henceforth assume,

$$\text{de Sitter inflation} \quad \implies \quad a(t) = e^{Ht} . \quad (4)$$

The homogeneity of spacetime expansion in (1) does not change the fact that particles have constant wave vectors $\vec{k}$, but it does alter what these

means physically. In particular the energy of a particle with mass m and wave number $k = \|\vec{k}\|$ becomes time dependent,

$$E(t, k) = \sqrt{m^2 + \left(k/a(t)\right)^2}. \quad (5)$$

This results in an interesting change in the energy-time uncertainty principle which restricts how long a virtual pair of such particles with $\pm \vec{k}$ can exist. If the pair was created at time t it can last a time Δt given by the integral,

$$\int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' E(t', k) \sim 1. \quad (6)$$

Just as in flat space, particles with the smallest masses persist longest. For the fully massless case the integral is simple to evaluate,

$$\int_t^{t+\Delta t} dt' E(t', k) \Big|_{m=0} = \left[1 - e^{-H\Delta t}\right] \frac{k}{Ha(t)}. \quad (7)$$

We therefore conclude that any massless virtual particle which happens to emerge from the vacuum with $k \lesssim Ha(t)$ can persist forever!

Most massless particles possess conformal invariance. The change of variables $d\eta \equiv dt/a(t)$ defines a conformal time in terms of which the invariant element (1) is just a conformal factor times that of flat space,

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) d\vec{x} \cdot d\vec{x} = a^2 \left(-d\eta^2 + d\vec{x} \cdot d\vec{x} \right). \quad (8)$$

In the $(\eta, \vec{x})$ coordinates conformally invariant theories are locally identical to their flat space cousins. The rate at which virtual particles emerge from the vacuum per unit conformal time must be the same constant — call it Γ — as in flat space. Hence the rate of emergence per unit physical time is,

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{dN}{d\eta} \frac{d\eta}{dt} = \frac{\Gamma}{a(t)}. \quad (9)$$

It follows that, although any sufficiently long wavelength, massless and conformally invariant particle which emerges from the vacuum can persist forever during inflation, very few will emerge.

Two kinds of massless particles do not possess conformal invariance: minimally coupled scalars

and gravitons. To see that the production of these particles is not suppressed during inflation note that each polarization and wave number behaves like a harmonic oscillator with time dependent mass and frequency,

$$L = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{q}^2 - \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 q^2$$

with $m(t) = a^3(t)$ and $\omega(t) = \frac{k}{a(t)}$. (10)

The Heisenberg equation of motion can be exactly solved,

$$\ddot{q} + 3H\dot{q} + \frac{k^2}{a^2} q = 0$$

$$\implies q(t) = u(t, k)\alpha + u^*(t, k)\alpha^\dagger, \quad (11)$$

where the mode functions and commutation relations are,

$$u(t, k) = \frac{H}{\sqrt{2k^3}} \left[1 - \frac{ik}{Ha(t)} \right] \exp \left[\frac{ik}{Ha(t)} \right]$$

and $[\alpha, \alpha^\dagger] = 1$. (12)

The (co-moving) energy operator for this system is,

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} m(t) \dot{q}^2(t) + \frac{1}{2} m(t) \omega^2(t) q^2(t). \quad (13)$$

Owing to the time dependent mass and frequency, there are no stationary states for this system. At any given time the minimum eigenstate of $E(t)$ has energy $\frac{1}{2}\omega(t)$, but which state this is changes for each value of time. The state $|\Omega\rangle$ which is annihilated by α has minimum energy in the distant past. The expectation value of the energy operator in this state is,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega | E(t) | \Omega \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} a^3(t) |\dot{u}(t, k)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} a(t) k^2 |u(t, k)|^2 \\ &= \frac{k}{2a} + \frac{H^2 a}{4k}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

If we think of each particle having energy $k/a(t)$ it follows that the number of particles with any polarization and wave number k grows as the square of the inflationary scale factor,

$$N(t, k) = \left(\frac{Ha(t)}{2k} \right)^2! \quad (15)$$

Quantum field theoretic effects are driven by essentially classical physics operating in response to the source of virtual particles implied by quantization. On the basis of (15) one might expect inflation to dramatically enhance quantum effects from massless, minimally coupled scalars and gravitons, and explicit studies over a quarter century have confirmed this. The oldest results are of course the cosmological perturbations induced by scalar inflatons [1] and by gravitons [2]. More recently it was shown that the one loop vacuum polarization induced by a charged, massless and minimally coupled scalar causes super-horizon photons to behave like massive particles in some ways [3,4,5]. Another recent result is that the one loop fermion self-energy induced by a massless and minimally coupled Yukawa scalar reflects so much particle production that the end of inflation should reveal a degenerate gas of super-horizon fermions [6].

3. Infrared Logarithms and the Leading Log Approximation

Two higher loop results are of special interest to us. The first is that the gravitational back-reaction from the inflationary production of gravitons induces an ever greater slowing in the expansion rate [7,8,9]. The Lagrangian is just that of general relativity with a positive cosmological constant,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} (R - 2\Lambda) \sqrt{-g} \quad \text{with} \quad \Lambda = 3H^2. \quad (16)$$

What was actually computed [9] is the graviton one-point function, about a locally de Sitter background, in the presence of a state which is free Bunch-Davies vacuum at $t = 0$. However, if the resulting distortion of the background geometry was viewed in terms of an effective energy density and pressure the results would be,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t) &= \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} + \frac{(\kappa H)^2 H^4}{2^6 \pi^4} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \ln^2(a) + O(\ln(a)) \right\} + O(\kappa^4), \quad (17) \\ p(t) &= -\frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} + \frac{(\kappa H)^2 H^4}{2^6 \pi^4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left\{ +\frac{1}{2} \ln^2(a) + O(\ln(a)) \right\} + O(\kappa^4). \quad (18)$$

(Here $\kappa^2 \equiv 16\pi G$.) Of course the tree order ($\sim \kappa^{-2}$) term is just the cosmological constant. The one loop ($\sim \kappa^0$) effect from the kinetic energies of inflationary gravitons is a constant [10,11] that must be subsumed into Λ in order for the universe to begin inflating with Hubble parameter H . The next order effect is secular because the kinetic energies interact with the total graviton field strength which grows as more and more gravitons are produced. The induced energy density of this term is negative because the interaction is attractive.

The second result is that the inflationary particle production of self-interacting scalars induces a violation of the weak energy condition on cosmological scales [12,13]. The Lagrangian is that of a massless, minimally coupled scalar with a quartic self-interaction in a non-dynamical, locally de Sitter background,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial_\nu \varphi g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \varphi^4 \sqrt{-g}. \quad (19)$$

This theory can be renormalized so that, when released in free Bunch-Davies vacuum at $t = 0$, the energy density and pressure are [12,13],

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t) &= \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} + \frac{\lambda H^4}{2^6 \pi^4} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \ln^2(a) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Delta\xi \ln(a) + O(a^{-1}) \right\} + O(\lambda^2), \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) &= -\frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} + \frac{\lambda H^4}{2^6 \pi^4} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \ln^2(a) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[\frac{1}{3} + \Delta\xi \right] \ln(a) + O(a^{-1}) \right\} + O(\lambda^2). \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

(The parameter $\Delta\xi$ is related to the finite part of the conformal counterterm.) The one loop effect from the kinetic energies of inflationary scalars is again a constant that must be subsumed into Λ . The next order effect is secular because the φ^4 self-interaction involves the total scalar field strength which grows as more and more scalars are produced. The induced energy density is positive, for $\lambda > 0$, because the φ^4 term adds to the

energy density. In this model we might also guess that the effect is self-limiting because the classical restoring force tends to push the scalar back to zero.

Both of these models exhibit *infrared logarithms* — factors of $\ln(a) = Ht$. As inflation proceeds these infrared logarithms grow without bound until they eventually overcome the small coupling constants, $(\kappa H)^2$ for gravity and λ for the scalar model. One cannot conclude from this that there is actually a significant change in the background expansion rate because we do not know the higher order results. The legitimate conclusions are rather (1) that the expansion rate slows in the first model, and increases in the second, and (2) that both effects eventually become nonperturbatively strong. To learn what the outcome is at late times, after perturbation theory has broken down, requires a nonperturbative technique.

One possibility for such a technique is to sum the leading infrared logarithms. It has been conjectured that the powers of $a^{-1} = e^{-Ht}$ — which are separately conserved — can be subsumed into renormalizations of the initial state [13]. If this is so then we expect the perturbative expansions for the energy density in both models to take the general form,

$$\rho(t) = \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} + H^4 \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} (\text{coupling})^{\ell-1} \times \sum_{k=0}^{2\ell-3} C_{\ell k} (\ln(a))^{2\ell-2-k}, \quad (22)$$

where the $C_{\ell k}$'s are pure numbers, and “coupling” is $(\kappa H)^2$ for gravity and λ for the scalar model. The leading logarithm approximation would be,

$$\rho(t)_{\text{leading logarithm}} = \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} + H^4 \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} C_{\ell 0} (\text{coupling} \ln^2(a))^{\ell-1}. \quad (23)$$

Of course other quantities besides the energy density exhibit infrared logarithms. For example, they have been evaluated at the one [12] and two loop [14] orders in the self-mass-squared of the scalar model (19). Because the leading logarithm

approximation seems to be a well-defined concept for any Green's function we might suspect that it can be implemented at the level of the Heisenberg field equations.

Starobinskiĭ has long argued that his stochastic field equations [15] should recover the leading infrared logarithms of any Green's function at each order in perturbation theory. In 1994 he and Yokoyama [16] were able to obtain an explicit late time solution of these stochastic equations for (19) which agrees with the intuitive expectation that the growth of its field strength is eventually halted by the classical restoring force of the potential. The purpose of this paper is to generalize Starobinskiĭ's technique so that it can be applied to models with derivative interactions and constrained fields such as gravity.

4. The Physics of Infrared Logarithms

The origin of the infrared logarithms in expressions (17-18) and (20-21) can be understood physically as well as from the mathematics of perturbation theory. Although the physical understanding is vastly more important in guiding the generalization we must make, it is crucial to see that the formalism provides an invariant separation between infrared and ultraviolet degrees of freedom. We accordingly begin by explaining how perturbative computations are done invariantly in a locally de Sitter background.

We employ dimensional regularization in position space. Of course the vertices are straightforward to obtain in any background, so the only difficulty comes in finding the propagators in arbitrary spacetime dimension D . These propagators are expressed in terms of a de Sitter invariant length function we call $y(x; x')$,

$$y(x; x') \equiv aa' \left[H^2 \|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'\|^2 - \left(-\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a'} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (24)$$

Its physical meaning in terms of the invariant length $\ell(x; x')$ between x^μ and x'^μ is,

$$y(x; x') = 4 \sin^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} H \ell(x; x') \right]. \quad (25)$$

For example, the propagator of a massless, con-

formally coupled scalar is [17],

$$i\Delta_{\text{cf}}(x; x') = \frac{H^{D-2}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2} - 1\right) \left(\frac{4}{y}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}-1}. \quad (26)$$

Because $y(x; x')$ vanishes when $x'^\mu = x^\mu$, and because one always interprets D so that zero is raised to a positive power in dimensional regularization, the coincidence limit of the conformally coupled propagator vanishes,

$$i\Delta_{\text{cf}}(x; x) = 0. \quad (27)$$

Of course conformal invariance suppresses interesting quantum effects but $i\Delta_{\text{cf}}(x; x')$ is useful for expressing the propagator of the minimally coupled scalar [12,13],

$$\begin{aligned} i\Delta_A(x; x') &= i\Delta_{\text{cf}}(x; x') + \frac{H^{D-2}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(D-1)}{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2})} \left\{ \frac{D}{D-4} \right. \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{D}{2})}{\Gamma(D-1)} \left(\frac{4}{y}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}-2} - \pi \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2}D\right) + \ln(aa') \Big\} \\ &\quad + \frac{H^{D-2}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \frac{\Gamma(n+D-1)}{\Gamma(n+\frac{D}{2})} \left(\frac{y}{4}\right)^n \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{n-\frac{D}{2}+2} \frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{D}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(n+2)} \left(\frac{y}{4}\right)^{n-\frac{D}{2}+2} \right\}. \quad (28) \end{aligned}$$

This expression might seem daunting but it is actually simple to use in low order computations because the infinite sum on the final line vanishes in $D = 4$, and each term in the series goes like a positive power of $y(x; x')$. This means that the infinite sum can only contribute when multiplied by a divergence, and even then only a small number of terms can contribute.

The explicit factor of $\ln(aa')$ at the end of the second line in (28) is one source of infrared logarithms. It gives the secular dependence of the coincidence limit [18,19,20],

$$\begin{aligned} i\Delta_A(x; x) &= \frac{H^{D-2}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(D-1)}{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2})} \left\{ -\pi \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2}D\right) + 2\ln(a) \right\}. \quad (29) \end{aligned}$$

This factor of $\ln(aa')$ is also interesting in that it breaks de Sitter invariance. Of course $\varphi(x)$

transforms like a scalar; the breaking derives from the state in which the expectation value of the two free fields is taken. Allen and Folacci long ago proved that the massless, minimally coupled scalar fails to possess normalizable de Sitter invariant states [21]. A final point is that the factor of $\ln(aa')$ is actually an invariant, it just depends upon the initial value surface at which the state is released. In fact it is the Hubble constant times the sum of the invariant times from x^μ and x'^μ to this initial value surface. The physical reason for such a term is the increasing field amplitude due to inflationary particle production, as discussed in the introduction.

The graviton propagator is much more complicated on account of its tensor indices. However, it also involves the A -type propagator [22,23] so it can also induce infrared logarithms. Any infrared logarithms which appear in one loop diagrams involving scalars and/or gravitons can only have come from this A -type propagator. However, another mechanism can produce infrared logarithms in higher loop results such as (17-18) and (20-21). This other mechanism is the growth of the invariant volume of the past light-cone from the observation point back to the initial value surface,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{PLC}}(t) &\equiv \int_0^t dt' a'^{D-1} \int d^{D-1} x' \theta[-y(x; x')] \\ &= \frac{2\pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}} H^{-D}}{(D-1)\Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2})} [\ln(a) + O(1)]. \quad (30) \end{aligned}$$

Factors of this quantity arise naturally whenever undifferentiated propagators connect an interaction vertex (at x'^μ) with the expectation value of some observable (at x^μ).

It should be noted that one must use the Schwinger-Keldysh formalism [24] to obtain true expectation values for cases, such as cosmology, in which the “in” and “out” vacua either do not agree or are not even well defined [25]. In the Schwinger-Keldysh formalism the only net contributions arise from interaction vertices which lie on or within the past light-cone of some observation point. The more familiar in-out matrix elements would harbor virulent infrared divergences from integrating over the exponentially large vol-

ume of the inflationary future [26]. The causality of the Schwinger-Keldysh formalism regulates these infrared divergences but simple correspondence implies that the regulated expressions must grow without bound at late times.

To summarize, infrared logarithms derive jointly from explicit factors of $\ln(aa')$ in the propagators and from integrating undifferentiated propagators over the past light-cone. Our reason for discussing the mathematics of perturbation theory is to emphasize that the ultraviolet regularization is invariant. This has a crucially important consequence for understanding the physical origin of infrared logarithms: it means that there should be a constant dynamical impact from all modes whose physical wavelength ranges from zero (the far ultraviolet) to any fixed value.

Recall from section 2 that quanta are labeled by constant wave vectors $\vec{k}$, and that the mode with wavenumber $k = \|\vec{k}\|$ begins to experience significant inflationary particle production when $k/a(t) = H$. This suggests that we distinguish “infrared” and “ultraviolet” modes on the following basis,

$$\text{Infrared} \quad \implies \quad H < k < H a(t) \quad , \quad (31)$$

$$\text{Ultraviolet} \quad \implies \quad k > H a(t) \quad . \quad (32)$$

The ultraviolet modes are those whose physical wavelengths ($= 2\pi a(t)/k$) range from zero to the constant $2\pi/H$. With any invariant regularization the dynamical impact of such modes must be constant because they lie in an invariantly defined range.

Had we taken the lower limit in expression (31) to $k = 0$ the infrared phase space would also have extended over a constant physical range. However, taking k down to zero has long been known to result in infrared divergences [27]. We regulate these by working on $T^{D-1} \times R$, with the coordinate toroidal radii equal to $2\pi/H$ [26]. (We also typically make the integral approximation to discrete mode sums.) There are other techniques [28] but they all cause the effective infrared phase space to increase as the universe inflates. This growth is the physical source of infrared logarithms. Essentially what happens is that the average field strength increases as it receives contributions from more and more infrared modes. If

any interactions involve the undifferentiated field then this growth can enter physical quantities.

These assertions are easy to confirm in the context of the scalar φ^4 model (19) in $D = 3 + 1$ dimensions. The field equation is,

$$\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi} - \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2}\varphi + \frac{\lambda}{6}\varphi^3 = 0 \quad . \quad (33)$$

The full (ultraviolet plus infrared) perturbative initial value solution is obtained by iterating the following equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, \vec{x}) &= \varphi_0(t, \vec{x}) - \frac{\lambda}{6} \int_0^t dt' a^3(t') \\ &\quad \times \int d^3x' G_{\text{ret}}(t, \vec{x}; t', \vec{x}') \varphi^3(t', \vec{x}') \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The retarded Green’s function is,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\text{ret}}(t, \vec{x}; t', \vec{x}') &\equiv \frac{H^2}{4\pi} \theta(t-t') \left\{ \frac{\delta\left(H\|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'\| + \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{a'}\right)}{aa'H\|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'\|} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \theta\left(H\|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'\| + \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{a'}\right) \right\} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

The free field is,

$$\varphi_0(t, \vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} u(t, k) \alpha(\vec{k}) + \text{c.c.} \right\}, \quad (36)$$

where the mode function and commutation relations are,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, k) &= \frac{H}{\sqrt{2k^3}} \left[1 - \frac{ik}{Ha} \right] e^{\frac{ik}{Ha}} \\ \text{and} \quad \left[\alpha(\vec{k}), \alpha^\dagger(\vec{k}') \right] &= (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Note that although $\varphi_0(t, \vec{x})$ is only the order λ^0 part of the solution, it and its first derivative agree exactly with the full field on the initial value surface.

To excise the ultraviolet modes (32) we iterate what is essentially the same equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t, \vec{x}) &= \Phi_0(t, \vec{x}) - \frac{\lambda}{6} \int_0^t dt' a^3(t') \\ &\quad \times \int d^3x' G_{\text{ret}}(t, \vec{x}; t', \vec{x}') \Phi^3(t', \vec{x}') \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

but with the zeroth order solution restricted to only infrared modes,

$$\Phi_0(t, \vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \theta(Ha(t) - k) \times \left\{ e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} u(t, k) \alpha(\vec{k}) + e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} u^*(t, k) \alpha^\dagger(\vec{k}) \right\}, \quad (39)$$

This model is completely free of ultraviolet divergences, so we are justified in taking $D = 3 + 1$. To see that the model also reproduces the leading infrared logarithms at tree order it suffices to take the expectation value of Φ_0^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega | \Phi_0^2(t, \vec{x}) | \Omega \rangle &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \theta(Ha - k) \frac{H^2}{2k^3} \left\{ 1 + \frac{k^2}{H^2 a^2} \right\}, \quad (40) \\ &= \left(\frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left\{ \ln(a) + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2a^2} \right\}. \quad (41) \end{aligned}$$

Comparison with (29) (for $D = 3 + 1$) reveals exact agreement between the $\ln(a)$ terms.

Our purely infrared field operator $\Phi(t, \vec{x})$ does not quite obey the field equation (33) because the kinetic operator fails to annihilate $\Phi_0(t, \vec{x})$. When time derivatives act upon the time dependent upper limit of the mode sum,

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\Phi}_0(t, \vec{x}) + 3H\dot{\Phi}_0(t, \vec{x}) - \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2(t)} \Phi_0(t, \vec{x}) \\ = \dot{\mathcal{F}}(t, \vec{x}) + \mathcal{G}(t, \vec{x}) + 3H\mathcal{F}(t, \vec{x}), \quad (42) \end{aligned}$$

it produces momentum space surface terms involving the sources,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(t, \vec{x}) &= H \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} k \delta(k - Ha(t)) \\ &\times \left\{ e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} u(t, k) \alpha(\vec{k}) + e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} u^*(t, k) \alpha^\dagger(\vec{k}) \right\}, \quad (43) \\ \mathcal{G}(t, \vec{x}) &= H \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} k \delta(k - Ha(t)) \\ &\times \left\{ e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \dot{u}(t, k) \alpha(\vec{k}) + e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \dot{u}^*(t, k) \alpha^\dagger(\vec{k}) \right\}. \quad (44) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the mode functions and their derivatives are simply constants at $k = Ha(t)$,

$$u(t, k) \Big|_{k=Ha(t)} = \frac{H}{\sqrt{2k^3}} (1 - i) e^i, \quad (45)$$

$$\dot{u}(t, k) \Big|_{k=Ha(t)} = -\frac{H^2}{\sqrt{2k^3}} e^i \quad (46)$$

In view of (42) the equation obeyed by $\Phi(t, \vec{x})$ is not (33) but rather,

$$\left(\ddot{\Phi} - \dot{\mathcal{F}} - \mathcal{G} \right) + 3H \left(\dot{\Phi} - \mathcal{F} \right) - \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2} \Phi + \frac{\lambda}{6} \Phi^3 = 0. \quad (47)$$

This equation does not leave the original stress-energy tensor conserved because stress-energy is being continually dumped into the truncated system by ultraviolet modes which redshift past the horizon. We can account for this by modifying what we call $T_{\mu\nu}$. To motivate the modification it is useful to write down the form we expect for the divergence of the stress-energy. For the zero component we should get,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{0\mu}{}^{;\mu} &= -\dot{T}_{00} - H \left[3T_{00} + g^{ij} T_{ij} \right] + g^{ij} T_{0i,j}, \quad (48) \\ &= -\dot{\Phi} \left[\left(\ddot{\Phi} - \dot{\mathcal{F}} - \mathcal{G} \right) + 3H \left(\dot{\Phi} - \mathcal{F} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2} \Phi + \frac{\lambda}{6} \Phi^3 \right]. \quad (49) \end{aligned}$$

The spatial components should read,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{i\mu}{}^{;\mu} &= -\dot{T}_{0i} - 3HT_{0i} + \frac{1}{a^2} T_{ij,j}, \quad (50) \\ &= -\partial_i \Phi \left[\left(\ddot{\Phi} - \dot{\mathcal{F}} - \mathcal{G} \right) + 3H \left(\dot{\Phi} - \mathcal{F} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2} \Phi + \frac{\lambda}{6} \Phi^3 \right]. \quad (51) \end{aligned}$$

We can enforce (49) and (51) with a stress-energy of the form,

$$T_{00} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\dot{\Phi} - \mathcal{F} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2a^2} \vec{\nabla} \Phi \cdot \vec{\nabla} \Phi + \frac{\lambda}{24} \Phi^4, \quad (52)$$

$$T_{0i} = \left(\dot{\Phi} - \mathcal{F} \right) \partial_i \Phi, \quad (53)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ij} &= \partial_i \Phi \partial_j \Phi - g_{ij} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\dot{\Phi} - \mathcal{F} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2a^2} \vec{\nabla} \Phi \cdot \vec{\nabla} \Phi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\lambda}{24} \Phi^4 \right] + \partial_i S_j + \partial_j S_i - \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_{ij} - 3 \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\nabla^2} \right) S^L \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\nabla^2} \right) S. \quad (54) \end{aligned}$$

Once this form is assumed the nonlocal source terms of the purely spatial components are deter-

mined by conservation to be,

$$S_i = \frac{a^2}{\nabla^2} \left[\mathcal{G} \partial_i \Phi + (\dot{\Phi} - \mathcal{F}) \partial_i \mathcal{F} \right] - \frac{a^4 \partial_i \partial_k}{\nabla^4} \left[\mathcal{G} \partial_k \Phi + (\dot{\Phi} - \mathcal{F}) \partial_k \mathcal{F} \right], \quad (55)$$

$$S^L = \frac{a^2 \partial_k}{\nabla^2} \left[\mathcal{G} \partial_k \Phi + (\dot{\Phi} - \mathcal{F}) \partial_k \mathcal{F} \right], \quad (56)$$

$$S = -\frac{a^2}{H} (\dot{\Phi} - \mathcal{F}) \mathcal{G} - \frac{1}{H} \vec{\nabla} \mathcal{F} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \Phi - \frac{\lambda a^2}{6H} \mathcal{F} \Phi^3 \quad (57)$$

We have therefore achieved a completely consistent model of just the infrared modes that reproduces the leading infrared logarithms.

Although the zeroth order field $\Phi_0(t, \vec{x})$ contains only infrared modes it is still quantum mechanical in that the field and its first time derivative do not commute,

$$\begin{aligned} [\Phi_0(t, \vec{x}), \dot{\Phi}_0(t, \vec{x}')] &= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \theta(Ha(t) - k) \\ &\times \left[u(t, k) \dot{u}^*(t, k) - u^*(t, k) \dot{u}(t, k) \right] e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \Delta \vec{x}}, \quad (58) \\ &= \frac{i}{4\pi^2 a^3} \int_H^{Ha} dk k^2 \frac{\sin(k \Delta x)}{k \Delta x}, \quad (59) \\ &= \frac{i}{4\pi^2 (a \Delta x)^3} \\ &\times \left\{ \sin(aH \Delta x) - aH \Delta x \cos(aH \Delta x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sin(H \Delta x) + H \Delta x \cos(H \Delta x) \right\}. \quad (60) \end{aligned}$$

(In these formulae we define $\Delta \vec{x} \equiv \vec{x} - \vec{x}'$ and $\Delta x \equiv \|\Delta \vec{x}\|$.) However, note that the leading infrared logarithm in (41) derives entirely from the constant first term of the long wavelength expansion of the mode function,

$$u(t, k) = \frac{H}{\sqrt{2k^3}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{Ha} \right)^2 + \frac{i}{3} \left(\frac{k}{Ha} \right)^3 + \dots \right\}. \quad (61)$$

We would get precisely the same infrared logarithm from a free field with only this term,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0(t, \vec{x}) &\equiv \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \theta(Ha(t) - k) \\ &\times \frac{H}{\sqrt{2k^3}} \left\{ e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \alpha(\vec{k}) + e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \alpha^\dagger(\vec{k}) \right\}. \quad (62) \end{aligned}$$

However, the field $\phi_0(t, \vec{x})$ is “classical” in the sense that it commutes with its time derivative.

Because it is a superposition of creation and annihilation operators $\phi_0(t, \vec{x})$ is still a quantum operator and hence liable to take any value. Such a random but commuting variable might be termed “stochastic.”

How should one include corrections to $\phi_0(t, \vec{x})$? We could simply replace Φ_0 by ϕ_0 in (38). Iterating such a relation would capture the leading infrared logarithms however, we may as well simplify the Green’s function along the same lines as the mode function. The full Green’s function can be expressed in terms of the full mode function as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\text{ret}}(t, \vec{x}; t', \vec{x}') &= i\theta(t - t') \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \\ &\times \left[u(t, k) u^*(t', k) - u^*(t, k) u(t', k) \right] e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \Delta \vec{x}}. \quad (63) \end{aligned}$$

The long wavelength expansion of the bracketed term is,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[u(t, k) u^*(t', k) - u^*(t, k) u(t', k) \right] \\ &= \frac{i}{3H} \left(\frac{1}{a^3} - \frac{1}{a'^3} \right) + O(k^2). \quad (64) \end{aligned}$$

Retaining only this leading term in (63) gives,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\text{ret}}(t, \vec{x}; t', \vec{x}') &\longrightarrow \frac{1}{3H} \theta(t - t') \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') \left(\frac{1}{a'^3} - \frac{1}{a^3} \right). \quad (65) \end{aligned}$$

It is actually superfluous even keeping the $1/a^3$ term, which can never result in a leading infrared logarithm. We will therefore recover the leading infrared logarithms by iterating the simplified equation,

$$\phi(t, \vec{x}) = \phi_0(t, \vec{x}) - \frac{\lambda}{18H} \int_0^t dt' \phi^3(t', \vec{x}). \quad (66)$$

It remains to infer the local differential equation which the full stochastic field $\phi(t, \vec{x})$ obeys. Note that the time derivative of the stochastic free field is a momentum space surface term,

$$\dot{\phi}_0(t, \vec{x}) \equiv f(t, \vec{x}), \quad (67)$$

$$= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(k - Ha) \frac{H^2}{\sqrt{2k}} \left\{ e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \alpha(\vec{k}) + \text{c.c.} \right\}, \quad (68)$$

Hence the full stochastic field obeys,

$$3H\left(\dot{\phi}(t, \vec{x}) - f(t, \vec{x})\right) + \frac{\lambda}{6}\phi^3(t, \vec{x}) = 0. \quad (69)$$

5. Derivative Interactions

Of course (69) is a Langevin equation with the white noise provided by $f(t, \vec{x})$. For the techniques by which the associated Fokker-Planck equation for the probability density can be solved at asymptotically late times we recommend the excellent paper by Starobinskiĭ and Yokoyama [16]. Our main purpose is to derive the analogous Langevin equation for quantum gravity. This entails generalizing the derivation to cover derivative interactions (this section) and constrained fields (section 6).

The key property of the Langevin equation we seek is that it should capture the leading infrared logarithms at each order in perturbation theory. Because we shall have to make guesses about the equation it is desirable to be able to check these guesses against explicit perturbative computations in the full theory. The time required to do this in quantum gravity is prohibitive. We therefore studied a simple scalar model with derivative interactions,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu A \partial_\nu A g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu B \partial_\nu B g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} - \frac{\lambda}{4}A^2 \partial_\mu B \partial_\nu B g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g}. \quad (70)$$

Both fields $A(t, \vec{x})$ and $B(t, \vec{x})$ are massless, minimally coupled scalars at zeroth order, so both have the A -type propagator (28). With a little work we can evaluate the one loop corrections to the square of each field [29],

$$\left\langle \Omega \left| A^2(t, \vec{x}) \right| \Omega \right\rangle_{\log}^{\text{leading}} = \frac{H^2}{4\pi^2} \ln(a) + O(\lambda^2), \quad (71)$$

$$\left\langle \Omega \left| B^2(t, \vec{x}) \right| \Omega \right\rangle_{\log}^{\text{leading}} = \frac{H^2}{4\pi^2} \ln(a) - \frac{\lambda H^4}{2^6 \pi^4} \ln^2(a) + O(\lambda^2). \quad (72)$$

The full field equations for this system are,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta A} = A^{\cdot\mu}{}_\mu - \frac{\lambda}{2} A B_{,\mu} B^{\cdot\mu} = 0, \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta B} = B^{\cdot\mu}{}_\mu + \lambda A A_{,\mu} B^{\cdot\mu} + \frac{\lambda}{2} A^2 B^{\cdot\mu}{}_\mu = 0. \quad (74)$$

We can solve them perturbatively by iterating the following system,

$$A(t, \vec{x}) = A_{\text{fr}}(t, \vec{x}) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^t dt' a'^3 \int d^3 x' G_{\text{ret}}(x; x') \times A(x') B_{,\mu}(x') B^{\cdot\mu}(x'), \quad (75)$$

$$B(t, \vec{x}) = B_{\text{fr}}(t, \vec{x}) + \lambda \int_0^t dt' a'^3 \int d^3 x' G_{\text{ret}}(x; x') \times \left\{ A(x') A_{,\mu}(x') B^{\cdot\mu}(x') + \frac{1}{2} A^2(x') B^{\cdot\mu}{}_\mu(x') \right\}. \quad (76)$$

(In these formulae we have sometimes compressed space and time arguments to a single 4-vector: $(t, \vec{x}) \equiv x$.) The two free fields are independent copies of the scalar free field (36),

$$A_{\text{fr}}(t, \vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} u(t, k) \alpha(\vec{k}) + \text{c.c.} \right\}, \quad (77)$$

$$B_{\text{fr}}(t, \vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} u(t, k) \beta(\vec{k}) + \text{c.c.} \right\}. \quad (78)$$

Here $\alpha(\vec{k})$ and $\beta(\vec{k})$ are independent, canonically normalized annihilation operators and $u(t, k)$ is the mode function (37) of the massless, minimally coupled scalar.

What is the simplified stochastic analogue that recovers the leading infrared logarithms? From the analysis of the previous section it is apparent that differentiating a propagator precludes it from contributing an infrared logarithm. Said another way, it is always preferable to have a derivative act on the rapidly varying scale factor rather than the slowly varying field. We therefore expect that the Hubble friction term dominates the scalar d'Alembertian,

$$A^{\cdot\mu}{}_\mu = -\ddot{A} - 3H\dot{A} + \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2} A \longrightarrow -3H\dot{A}. \quad (79)$$

No term is comparably important when the two derivatives act on different fields,

$$A_{,\mu} B^{\cdot\mu} = -\dot{A}\dot{B} + \frac{1}{a^2} \vec{\nabla} A \cdot \vec{\nabla} B \longrightarrow 0, \quad (80)$$

$$B_{,\mu} B^{\cdot\mu} = -\dot{B}^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} \vec{\nabla} B \cdot \vec{\nabla} B \longrightarrow 0. \quad (81)$$

The rest of the derivation is identical to that of the previous section. We again excise sub-horizon

modes and take the long wavelength limit of the mode function,

$$A_0(t, \vec{x}) \equiv \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \theta(Ha(t) - k) \times \frac{H}{\sqrt{2k^3}} \left\{ e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \alpha(\vec{k}) + e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \alpha^\dagger(\vec{k}) \right\}, \quad (82)$$

$$B_0(t, \vec{x}) \equiv \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \theta(Ha(t) - k) \times \frac{H}{\sqrt{2k^3}} \left\{ e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \beta(\vec{k}) + e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \beta^\dagger(\vec{k}) \right\}. \quad (83)$$

We also take the long wavelength limit (65) of the Green's function. Putting everything together gives the following simplified iterative equations for reproducing the leading infrared logarithms,

$$A_{\text{IR}}(t, \vec{x}) = A_0(t, \vec{x}), \quad (84)$$

$$B_{\text{IR}}(t, \vec{x}) = B_0(t, \vec{x}) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^t dt' A_{\text{IR}}^2(t', \vec{x}) \dot{B}_{\text{IR}}(t', \vec{x}). \quad (85)$$

We differentiate to obtain local equations,

$$-3H(\dot{A}_{\text{IR}} - f_A) = 0, \quad (86)$$

$$-3H(\dot{B}_{\text{IR}} - f_B) - \frac{3\lambda H}{2} A_{\text{IR}}^2 \dot{B}_{\text{IR}} = 0. \quad (87)$$

Here the stochastic sources are,

$$f_A(t, \vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(k - Ha(t)) \times \frac{H^2}{\sqrt{2k}} \left\{ e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \alpha(\vec{k}) + e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \alpha^\dagger(\vec{k}) \right\}, \quad (88)$$

$$f_B(t, \vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(k - Ha(t)) \times \frac{H^2}{\sqrt{2k}} \left\{ e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \beta(\vec{k}) + e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \beta^\dagger(\vec{k}) \right\}. \quad (89)$$

Note the curious fact that *even interactions which contain derivatives are free of stochastic source terms.*

Although we would like to check it on other quantities and at higher orders, equations (86-87) do reproduce the known leading infrared logarithms (71-72). An amusing feature of this system is that we can obtain an explicit operator solution rather than just a solution for the probability distribution at asymptotically late times!

Of course we can read off A_{IR} from (84). A few simple rearrangements gives a closed form solution for B_{IR} as well,

$$B_{\text{IR}}(t, \vec{x}) = \int_0^t dt' \frac{f_B(t', \vec{x})}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda A_0^2(t', \vec{x})}. \quad (90)$$

It exhibits a sort of spacetime-dependent field strength renormalization whereby each contingent of β -modes which experiences horizon crossing is attenuated by the factor $1 + \frac{\lambda}{2} A_0^2(t, \vec{x})$.

6. Constrained Fields

Gravity also possesses constrained fields. We might anticipate that these possess no stochastic source terms because they have no independent degrees of freedom. Finding a scalar analogue model in which to check this is difficult because pure scalar models lack gauge constraints. However, it is possible to go the other way by formulating gravity in a covariant gauge [30] for which, in $D = 4$ spacetime dimensions, all the free fields are either massless, minimally coupled scalars or else massless, conformally coupled scalars. We can then compare scalar models involving the two sorts of fields.

A simple model of the desired type is,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu A \partial_\nu A g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu C \partial_\nu C g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} - \frac{D-2}{8(D-1)} C^2 R \sqrt{-g} - \frac{1}{2} \kappa H^2 A^2 C \sqrt{-g}. \quad (91)$$

The A field again has the A -type propagator (28) while the C field has the conformal propagator (26). Taking the VEV's of the squares of each field gives,

$$\left\langle \Omega \left| A^2(t, \vec{x}) \right| \Omega \right\rangle_{\text{log}}^{\text{leading}} = \frac{H^2}{4\pi^2} \ln(a) + O(\kappa^2). \quad (92)$$

$$\left\langle \Omega \left| C^2(t, \vec{x}) \right| \Omega \right\rangle_{\text{log}}^{\text{leading}} = 0 + O(\kappa^2). \quad (93)$$

The exact field equations are,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta A} = A^{;\mu}{}_\mu - \kappa H^2 A C = 0, \quad (94)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta C} = C^{;\mu}{}_\mu - 2H^2 C - \frac{1}{2} \kappa H^2 A^2 = 0. \quad (95)$$

As usual the perturbative solution follows by iterating the integrated field equations,

$$A(t, \vec{x}) = A_{\text{fr}}(t, \vec{x}) - \kappa H^2 \int_0^t dt' a^3(t') \int d^3 x' \times G_{\text{ret}}(t, \vec{x}; t', \vec{x}') A(t', \vec{x}') C(t', \vec{x}'), \quad (96)$$

$$C(t, \vec{x}) = C_{\text{fr}}(t, \vec{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \kappa H^2 \int_0^t dt' a^3(t') \int d^3 x' \times \Gamma_{\text{ret}}(t, \vec{x}; t', \vec{x}') A^2(t', \vec{x}'). \quad (97)$$

The free A -type field is identical to (77). However, the free C -type field has a different mode function,

$$C_{\text{fr}}(t, \vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} v(t, k) \gamma(\vec{k}) + \text{c.c.} \right\}. \quad (98)$$

$$v(t, k) \equiv \frac{H}{\sqrt{2k^3}} \left(\frac{-i}{Ha} \right) e^{\frac{ik}{Ha}} = \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2k}} \frac{1}{a} e^{\frac{ik}{Ha}}. \quad (99)$$

The C -type field also has a different retarded Green's function,

$$\Gamma_{\text{ret}}(t, \vec{x}; t', \vec{x}') \equiv \frac{H^2}{4\pi} \theta(t-t') \frac{\delta \left(H \|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'\| + \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{a'} \right)}{aa'H \|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'\|}. \quad (100)$$

We can implement the leading logarithm approximation on the A -type field equation using techniques which are by now familiar,

$$A_{\text{IR}}(t, \vec{x}) = A_0(t, \vec{x}) - \frac{1}{3} \kappa H \int_0^t dt' A_{\text{IR}}(t', \vec{x}) C_{\text{IR}}(t', \vec{x}). \quad (101)$$

Achieving a similar reduction for the C equation requires several new insights. First, the C -type mode function (99) lacks the $k^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ singularity needed to produce infrared logarithms. It follows that the C -type free field truncates to zero in the leading logarithm approximation! The long wavelength limit of the C -type retarded Green's function is also different,

$$\Gamma_{\text{ret}}(t, \vec{x}; t', \vec{x}') \longrightarrow \frac{1}{H} \theta(t-t') \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') \left(\frac{1}{aa'^2} - \frac{1}{a^2 a'} \right). \quad (102)$$

These insights allow us to write a leading logarithm approximation for the C equation as follows,

$$C_{\text{IR}}(t, \vec{x}) = -\frac{\kappa H}{2} \int_0^t dt' \left(\frac{a'}{a} - \frac{a'^2}{a^2} \right) A_{\text{IR}}^2(t', \vec{x}). \quad (103)$$

A final simplification is to integrate by parts on the rapidly varying scale factors and neglect the slow variation in $A_{\text{IR}}(t', \vec{x})$ to obtain a local equation,

$$C_{\text{IR}} = -\frac{1}{4} \kappa A_{\text{IR}}^2. \quad (104)$$

We can rewrite the simplified leading logarithm field equations as follows,

$$-3H(\dot{A}_{\text{IR}} - f_A) - \kappa H^2 A_{\text{IR}} C_{\text{IR}} = 0, \quad (105)$$

$$-2H^2 C_{\text{IR}} - \frac{1}{2} \kappa H^2 A_{\text{IR}}^2 = 0. \quad (106)$$

The C equation (106) could be derived from (95) by arguing that it is preferable to act derivatives on the rapidly varying scale factor rather than the slowly varying field. Hence the conformal d'Alembertian is dominated by the curvature term,

$$C^{\mu}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{6} RC = -\ddot{C} - 3H\dot{C} + \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2} C - 2H^2 C \longrightarrow -2H^2 C. \quad (107)$$

We would again like to check more expectation values and higher orders, but our putative equations (105-106) do reproduce the known leading infrared logarithms (92-93). Substituting (104) into the A equation (105) gives,

$$\dot{A}_{\text{IR}} = f_A - \frac{\kappa^2 H}{12} A_{\text{IR}}^3. \quad (108)$$

It follows that $A_{\text{IR}}(t, \vec{x})$ and $\phi(t, \vec{x})$ obey the same equation with $\lambda = \frac{3}{2} \kappa^2 H^2$!

7. Conclusions

We can at length state what seem to be the general rules for inferring simplified operator equations which reproduce the leading infrared logarithms:

1. At each order in the field (e.g., φ^1 , φ^2 , etc.) retain only the term with the smallest number of derivatives.
2. Each time derivative in the linear terms has a stochastic source subtracted.

Of course we would get the same leading infrared logarithms by solving (47) for $\Phi(t, \vec{x})$. The enormous advantage of solving the stochastic equation (69) instead is that the field $\phi(t, \vec{x})$ can be regarded as *classical*. Whereas there is little hope of being able to exactly solve the Heisenberg field equations for an interacting, four dimensional quantum field, *one can sometimes solve classical field equations*. Starobinsky and Yokoyama did it for a minimally coupled scalar with arbitrary potential [16]. We have just seen how it can be done for models with derivative interactions and with constrained fields. The great task now is to see what happens for quantum gravity.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Alexei Starobinskiĭ for explaining his technique and for emphasizing that it recovers the leading infrared logarithms of inflationary quantum field theory. This work was partially supported by NSF grant PHY-0244714, and by the Institute for Fundamental Theory.

REFERENCES

1. V. F. Mukhanov and G. V. Chibisov, JETP Lett. **33** (1981) 532.
2. A. A. Starobinskiĭ, JETP Lett. **30** (1979) 682.
3. T. Prokopec, O. Tornkvist and R. P. Woodard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 101301, astro-ph/0205331.
4. T. Prokopec, O. Tornkvist and R. P. Woodard, Ann. Phys. **303** (2003) 251, gr-qc/0205130.
5. T. Prokopec and R. P. Woodard, Ann. Phys. **312** (2004) 1, gr-qc/0310056.
6. T. Prokopec and R. P. Woodard, JHEP **0310** (2003) 059, astro-ph/0309593.
7. N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Nucl. Phys. **B474** (1996) 235, hep-ph/9602315.
8. N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 2621, hep-ph/9602316.
9. N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Ann. Phys. **253** (1997) 1, hep-ph/9602317.
10. L. H. Ford, Phys. Rev. **D31** (1985) 710.
11. F. Finelli, G. Marozzi, G. P. Vacca and G. Venturi, “Adiabatic Regularization of the Graviton Stress-Energy Tensor in de Sitter Space-Time,” gr-qc/0407101.
12. V. K. Onemli and R. P. Woodard, Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) 4607, gr-qc/0204065.
13. V. K. Onemli and R. P. Woodard, Phys. Rev. **D70** (2004) 107301, gr-qc/0406098.
14. T. Brunier, V. K. Onemli and R. P. Woodard, Class. Quant. Grav. **22** (2005) 59, gr-qc/0408080.
15. A. A. Starobinskiĭ, “Stochastic de Sitter (inflationary) stage in the early universe,” in *Field Theory, Quantum Gravity and Strings*, ed. H. J. de Vega and N. Sanchez (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986) pp. 107-126.
16. A. A. Starobinskiĭ and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 6357, astro-ph/9407016.
17. N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982).
18. A. Vilenkin and L. H. Ford, Phys. Rev. **D26** (1982) 1231.
19. A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. **116B** (1982) 335.
20. A. A. Starobinskiĭ, Phys. Lett. **117B** (1982) 175.
21. B. Allen and A. Folacci, Phys. Rev. **D35** (1987) 3771.
22. N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Commun. Math. Phys. **162** (1994) 217.
23. R. P. Woodard, “de Sitter Breaking in Field Theory,” gr-qc/0408002.
24. J. Schwinger, J. Math. Phys. **2** (1961) 407.
25. R. D. Jordan, Phys. Rev. **D33** (1986) 444.
26. N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Class. Quant. Grav. **11** (1994) 2969.
27. L. H. Ford and L. Parker, Phys. Rev. **D16** (1977) 245.
28. S. A. Fulling, M. Sweeny and R. M. Wald, Commun. Math. Phys. **63** (1978) 257.
29. N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, “Stochastic Quantum Gravitational Inflation,” preprint in preparation.
30. L. R. Abramo and R. P. Woodard, Phys. Rev. **D65** (2002) 063516, astro-ph/0109273.